	í	Ì	
	۶	Ė	
	>	-	
	(-	•
	L	1	
	_		
	۲	ĭ	
	•	1	ĺ
•	-	_	
	2	-	ĺ
•	-		
		1	ĺ
	L		
	1	,	
	į	,	
	ř	ı,	
	1	Į	

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	arv 09/54	4,265	MACHIDA, HAR	RUO
Zammor milatoa milatoa Odimi	Exam	niner	Art Unit	
	Wei Y	Zhen	2122	
All Participants:	Stat	us of Application	n:	
(1) Wei Y Zhen.	(3)		
(2) Edward Kmett, Reg No. 42,746.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 February 2005	Tim	e:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:]Applicant's rep No	oresentative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NA	ATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The exami eparate record o	iner will provide a of the substance o	written summary of to the interview, since	he substance
				,
			<i>‡</i>	
WEI Y. ZHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER				
W. M.				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applica	ant's Representat	ive Signature – if appr	ropriate)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A called was made to applicant's representative on 1/26/2005 to propose amendment to amend claims in order to overcome potential 112 rejection (lack of antecedent basis rejection) and applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner's proposed amendment and gave the Examiner the permission to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment in order to put the claims in condition for allowance. However, since the examiner's amendment correcting only formal matters which are identified for the first time after a reply is made to a final Office action would not require any extension fee (MPEP 706.07(f))...